I Stand With Kids Legislative Evaluation Tool





Bill Number/Name:		
Link to Bill:		
Bill Description:		

Intention & Clarity of the Bill					
Item	N/A	0 - Insufficient	1 - Partially Student Focused	2 - Student Focused	
Is the purpose/intention of the bill clearly defined? Does it account for or anticipate unintended consequences or interpretations?	□ N/A	☐ 0 Points The purpose of the bill is not defined, or is very difficult to find. It does not address or account for any potential unintended consequences.	The purpose of the bill is somewhat defined but lacks clarity. Some potential unintended consequences are addressed, but not fully or without resolution.	☐ 2 Points The purpose of the bill is clearly defined. Any unintended consequences are addressed and resolved within the context of the bill.	
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:					
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>					
Does the language used in the bill make the bill easy to understand?	□ N/A	There are no definitions that are easy to understand if you don't already know them.	☐ 1 Point Some terms are defined, but some are still confusing.	2 Points Each important term is defined to make sure readers can understand.	
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?: <pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>					

Metrics, Benchmarks, and What Success Looks Like						
Are the metrics/benchmarks/ standards/criteria developed in a consistent and equitable manner?	□ N/A	There are no indications that the standards were developed equitably, or with any consistency. Different standards are applied to different sections or provisions of the bill.	I Point Some standards and metrics were developed thinking of some consistency and equity, but there are still gaps or questions.	☐ 2 Points Each standard and metric clearly demonstrates consistency and equity in the way it was developed.		
	Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?: <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre>please enter an explanation with evidence for your rating> </pre></pre></pre></pre>					
Are the metrics/benchmarks/stand ards/criteria applied in a consistent and equitable manner? (Is it clear what the bill is trying to accomplish? Is there a way to measure what it is trying to accomplish? Is that measurement applicable across all student groups?)	□ N/A	There is no indicator on what the bill will accomplish, or the intention is unclear. It is not clear or difficult to define what successful implementation of the bill would look like. There is no mention or little regard for applicability to different student groups and populations.	There is some idea on what the bill would accomplish, but it is unclear or could be interpreted in different ways. It is somewhat clear what successful implementation of the bill would look like. Some student groups and populations are addressed with the bill language.	The bill's intention is clearly stated and/or defined, with measurable outcomes for impact and intended purpose. The measurements are clearly applicable across all student groups and populations.		
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you? <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> <pre> <pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>						

Outcomes and Impact					
Does the bill articulate how it will impact student outcomes/achievement?	□ N/A	☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points	
		Student outcomes and impact are not addressed.	Student outcomes and impact are somewhat identified, but not clearly and without drawing clear lines between the bill and the intended impact.	Student outcomes and impact are clearly defined and are a focal point of the bill's intention.	
Rating Rationale/What quest	ions does this raise for you?:				
<ple><please an="" enter="" explanation<="" pre=""></please></ple>	n with evidence for your rating	g>			
Does the bill set high expectations for kids? (<i>i.e.</i> Louisiana SB 222 (2021) prioritized teaching kids to read on or above grade level)	□ N/A	☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points	
		Student expectations are not mentioned, discussed, or implied within the bill.	Student expectations are somewhat discussed or implied, but not clearly and without definition of the expectations.	High expectations for students are clearly implied or discussed in the bill.	
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:					
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>					
Does the bill account for the impact it will have on emerging bilinguals?	□ N/A	☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points	
		Impacts to emerging bilingual students are not mentioned.	Impacts to emerging bilingual students are mentioned, but impacts and considerations are unclear or unresolved.	Impacts to emerging bilingual students are clearly identified and addressed.	
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:					
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>					
Does the bill account for the impact it will have on students with disabilities?	□ N/A	☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points	
		Impacts to students with disabilities are not mentioned.	Impacts to students with disabilities are mentioned, but impacts and considerations are	Impacts to students with disabilities are clearly identified and addressed.	

			unclear or unresolved.			
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:						
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>						
Feasibility and Impleme	ntation					
Does the bill require		☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points		
funding? If so, is it provided?		Funding needs and/or sources are not addressed.	Funding needs are addressed, but sources or mechanisms are not identified or explained.	Funding needs are addressed, and sources and other funding tools are explained clearly.		
Rating Rationale/What quest	tions does this raise for you?:					
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>						
Is this the most effective/appropriate approach to address this issue? (i.e. could this issue be better addressed without legislation?) Is this the most effective/appropriate body to be addressing this issue?		□ 0 Points This issue could be approached more effectively through other means, such as directly contacting school board Trustees or school officials.	☐ 1 Point It is possible that this issue could be addressed without legislation, but the legislative approach may be the most effective.	☐ 2 Points A legislative approach is the best way to address this issue.		
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:						
<pre><please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please></pre>						
Does the bill account for and address how different entities/agencies will		☐ 0 Points	☐ 1 Point	☐ 2 Points		
		The bill does not mention which stakeholders are involved, their responsibilities, or how they will	The bill somewhat defines which entities/agencies will work together and the responsibilities	The bill clearly defines the agencies and entities that will work together, responsibilities of		

communicate/work together?		work together.	of each group or entity.	each, and how they will work together.		
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:						
<please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please>						
Is the bill or BDR based on data-driven information? Is there a cited source?		D Points The bill does not draw upon research, data, or other reputable sources to demonstrate how it is a workable solution to a problem or issue.	☐ 1 Point The bill references studies, data, or research, but is unclear and vague on the source and/or how it will address the problem or resolve an issue.	The bill clearly references a reputable study, research, and/or data to demonstrate how the bill will solve a problem or resolve an issue.		
Rating Rationale/What questions does this raise for you?:						
<please an="" enter="" evidence="" explanation="" for="" rating="" with="" your=""></please>						

Total Score: ____

Scoring Key and Next Steps

17-24 points: The bill represents a solid policy that will benefit kids' outcomes and reflects a focus on students.

• Next steps: Contact your legislators and use this evaluation tool as the basis for why you are supporting the bill, and any ideas or suggestions you have to potentially alleviate any concerns you noted in the tool.

9-16 points: The bill possibly represents a policy that may benefit kids, but concerns persist around certain areas or sections.

• Next steps: Contact your legislators, or the bill sponsor, and use this evaluation tool to explain your concerns and what would need to be addressed for this bill to be more student outcomes-focused.

0-8 points: The bill would not inherently lead to improved student outcomes. Significant concerns persist around how this would benefit kids, and improvements would be needed to gain your support.

• Next steps: Contact your legislators or the bill sponsor, and use this evaluation tool to explain your concerns, why you would not support this bill as it exists today, and if possible, any ideas or suggestions you have to make the bill more focused on student outcomes.